

Before we begin, let's establish a useful property of polynomials with real coefficients.

Reminder from last time:

The complex conjugate of a complex number $x+iy$ is

$$\overline{x+iy} = x-iy$$

Examples: $\overline{1+2i} = 1-2i$, $\overline{3} = 3$, $\overline{4i} = -4i$.

Here are two useful observations regarding complex conjugation and the algebraic operations on complex numbers:

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{(x+iy) + (u+iv)} &= \overline{(x+u) + i(y+v)} \\ &= (x+u) - i(y+v) \\ &= (x-iy) + (u-iv) \\ &= \overline{x+iy} + \overline{u+iv}\end{aligned}$$

We can summarize this as:

$$\overline{z+w} = \overline{z} + \overline{w} \quad \text{for all } z, w \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{re^{i\theta}} &= \overline{r(\cos\theta + i\sin\theta)} \\&= \overline{r\cos\theta + ir\sin\theta} \\&= r\cos\theta - ir\sin\theta \\&= r\cos(-\theta) + ir\sin(-\theta) \\&= r(\cos(-\theta) + i\sin(-\theta)) \\&= re^{-i\theta}\end{aligned}$$

This shows the formula

$$\overline{re^{i\theta}} = re^{-i\theta}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{(r_1 e^{i\theta_1})(r_2 e^{i\theta_2})} &= \overline{r_1 r_2 e^{i(\theta_1 + \theta_2)}} \\&= r_1 r_2 e^{-i(\theta_1 + \theta_2)} \\&= (r_1 e^{-i\theta_1})(r_2 e^{-i\theta_2}) \\&= \overline{r_1 e^{i\theta_1}} \cdot \overline{r_2 e^{i\theta_2}}\end{aligned}$$

Summarizing, $\overline{zw} = \bar{z}\bar{w}$ for all $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$

We could have done this computation in Cartesian coordinates:

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{(x+iy)(u+iv)} &= \overline{(xu-yv) + i(xv+yu)} \\&= (xu-yv) - i(xv+yu), \text{ whereas}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{(x+iy)} \overline{(u+iv)} &= (x-iy)(u-iv) \\&= (xu - (-y)(-v)) + i(x(-v) + (-y)u) \\&= (xu + yv) - i(xv + yu).\end{aligned}\quad \text{Equal}$$

Proposition: If the complex number z is a root of a polynomial with real coefficients,

$$a_r z^r + a_{r-1} z^{r-1} + \dots + a_1 z + a_0 = 0, \quad a_k \in \mathbb{R}$$

then so is its complex conjugate \bar{z} .

Proof: The proof is a computation:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \overline{0} = \overline{a_r z^r + a_{r-1} z^{r-1} + \dots + a_1 z + a_0} \\ &= \overline{a_r} \overline{z^r} + \overline{a_{r-1}} \overline{z^{r-1}} + \dots + \overline{a_1} \overline{z} + \overline{a_0} \\ &= a_r \bar{z}^r + a_{r-1} \bar{z}^{r-1} + \dots + a_1 \bar{z} + a_0. \end{aligned}$$

This shows \bar{z} is a root. □

Remark. For the above proof, it is important that the coefficients are real numbers.

For polynomials with complex coefficients, the statement of the proposition may not be true.

Example: The two square roots of i are

$$\begin{aligned} \pm e^{i\pi/4} &= \pm \left(\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right) + i \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right) \right) \\ &= \pm \frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So, } z^2 - i = \left(z + \frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \left(z - \frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}} \right)$$

$\frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}}$ and $-\frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}}$ are not complex conjugates

and we can check, for instance, that

$$\left(\frac{1-i}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2 - i = \frac{1-2i-1}{2} - i = \frac{-2i}{2} - i = -i - i = -2i \neq 0$$

so the conjugate of the root $\frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}}$ is not itself a root.

SOLVING HOMOGENEOUS LINEAR EQUATIONS

Warm-up:

First-order:

$$\frac{dy}{dt} + ry = 0, \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{dy}{dt} = -ry.$$

Can see that $y(t) = e^{-rt}$ is a solution.

First-order linear homogeneous \Rightarrow Solution space is one-dimensional

So $\{e^{-rt}\}$ is a basis of the space of solutions

(Clearly e^{-rt} is not the zero function,)

so $\{e^{-rt}\}$ is linearly independent

Second-order:

$$(*) \quad \frac{d^2y}{dt^2} + a_1 \frac{dy}{dt} + a_0 y = 0 \quad a_1, a_0 \in \mathbb{R}$$

Motivated by the first-order solution, guess solutions of the form

$$y(t) = e^{rt}, \quad \text{for some } r.$$

Plugging into (*), we get

$$r^2 e^{rt} + a_1 r e^{rt} + a_0 e^{rt} = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (r^2 + a_1 r + a_0) e^{rt} = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow r^2 + a_1 r + a_0 = 0, \quad \text{as } e^{rt} \neq 0 \text{ for all } t.$$

Let $\chi(z) = z^2 + a_1 z + a_0$ be the characteristic polynomial of (*)
↓
whi

Then the above computation shows that e^{rt} is a solution of (*) if and only if r is a root of $\chi(z)$ (meaning $\chi(r) = 0$).

$\chi(z)$ could have two distinct real roots, a real double root, or a pair of conjugate complex roots. Let's look at these possibilities.

a) $\chi(z)$ has two distinct real roots

$$\chi(z) = (z - r_1)(z - r_2), \quad r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}, \quad r_1 \neq r_2.$$

In this case, $e^{r_1 t}$ and $e^{r_2 t}$ form a basis of the space of solutions (we'll check they are linearly independent later, but we could also compute the Wronskian:

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} e^{r_1 t} & e^{r_2 t} \\ r_1 e^{r_1 t} & r_2 e^{r_2 t} \end{pmatrix} = (r_2 - r_1) e^{(r_1 + r_2)t} \neq 0 \text{ if } r_1 \neq r_2.$$

Basis of solutions:

$$\boxed{\{e^{r_1 t}, e^{r_2 t}\}}$$

b) $\chi(z)$ has a conjugate pair of complex roots

$$\chi(z) = (z - z_1)(z - \bar{z}_1), \quad z_1 = \sigma + i\omega \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$$

Note the roots have to be complex conjugates by the first proposition from today, as $\chi(z)$ has real coefficients.

$e^{(\sigma+i\omega)t}$ and $e^{(\sigma-i\omega)t}$ is then a \mathbb{C} -basis for complex-valued solutions.

By the principle of superposition,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{e^{(\sigma+i\omega)t} + e^{(\sigma-i\omega)t}}{2} &= e^{\sigma t} \frac{e^{i\omega t} + e^{-i\omega t}}{2} \\
 &= e^{\sigma t} \left(\frac{e^{i\omega t} + e^{-i\omega t}}{2} \right) \\
 &= e^{\sigma t} \cos(\omega t)
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{e^{(\sigma+i\omega)t} - e^{(\sigma-i\omega)t}}{2i} &= \frac{e^{\sigma t} e^{i\omega t} - e^{\sigma t} e^{-i\omega t}}{2i} \\
 &= e^{\sigma t} \left(\frac{e^{i\omega t} - e^{-i\omega t}}{2i} \right) \\
 &= e^{\sigma t} \sin(\omega t)
 \end{aligned}$$

These are real-valued and are again solutions. These are real-valued and linearly-independent (to be proved in future, or follows from

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} e^{\sigma t} \cos(\omega t) & e^{\sigma t} \sin(\omega t) \\ \sigma e^{\sigma t} \cos(\omega t) - \omega e^{\sigma t} \sin(\omega t) & \sigma e^{\sigma t} \sin(\omega t) + \omega e^{\sigma t} \cos(\omega t) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= e^{2\sigma t} \left(\sigma \cos(\omega t) \sin(\omega t) + \omega \cos^2(\omega t) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - (\sigma \cos(\omega t) \sin(\omega t) - \omega \sin^2(\omega t)) \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= e^{2\sigma t} \left(\omega \cos^2(\omega t) + \omega \sin^2(\omega t) \right) = \omega e^{2\sigma t}$$

$\neq 0$ because
 $\lambda_1 = \sigma + i\omega$ isn't real

Basis of Solutions:

$$\{e^{\sigma t} \cos(\omega t), e^{\sigma t} \sin(\omega t)\}$$

c) $\chi(z)$ has a repeated real root

$$\chi(z) = (z - r)^2, \quad r \in \mathbb{R}.$$

In this case, e^{rt} is a solution, but we need to find another linearly independent solution. It turns out that

te^{rt} works

Check: $\frac{d}{dt}(te^{rt}) = e^{rt} + rte^{rt} = (1+rt)e^{rt}$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{dt^2}(te^{rt}) &= re^{rt} + (1+rt)re^{rt} \\ &= (2r+r^2t)e^{rt} \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}(te^{rt}) + a_1 \frac{d}{dt}(te^{rt}) + a_0(te^{rt})$$

$$= ((2r+r^2t) + a_1(1+rt) + a_0)t e^{rt}$$

$$= (t(r^2+a_1r+a_0) + 2r+a_1)t e^{rt}$$

Now, $\chi(r) = r^2 + a_1r + a_0 = 0$ by hypothesis that
 r is a root of $\chi(z)$,

and $\chi(z) = z^2 + a_1z + a_0$
 $= (z-r)^2 = z^2 - 2rz + r^2$

so $a_1 = -2r$ by comparing coefficients.

Therefore,

$$(t(r^2 + a_1r + a_0) + 2rt + a_1) = 0$$

and te^{rt} is a solution.

will check later that e^{rt} and te^{rt} are linearly independent

(or, $\det \begin{pmatrix} e^{rt} & te^{rt} \\ re^{rt} & e^{rt} + rte^{rt} \end{pmatrix}$)

$$= e^{2rt} + rte^{2rt} - rte^{2rt} = e^{2rt} \neq 0$$

Basis of Solutions:

$$\boxed{\{e^{rt}, te^{rt}\}}$$

Generally,

$$(*) \quad \frac{d^r y}{dt^r} + a_{r-1} \frac{d^{r-1} y}{dt^{r-1}} + \cdots + a_1 \frac{dy}{dt} + a_0 y = 0$$

$a_k \in \mathbb{R}$

Write down the characteristic polynomial of (*):

$$\chi(z) = z^r + a_{r-1} z^{r-1} + \cdots + a_1 z + a_0$$

Factor $\chi(z)$ over the complex numbers:

$$\chi(z) = (z - r_1)^{m_1} \cdots (z - r_k)^{m_k} \left[(z - z_1)(z - \bar{z}_1) \right]^{n_1} \cdots \left[(z - z_\ell)(z - \bar{z}_\ell) \right]^{n_\ell}$$

Where r_1, \dots, r_k are real and pairwise distinct
 z_1, \dots, z_ℓ are complex and pairwise distinct

Write $z_k = \sigma_k + i\omega_k$, $\sigma_k, \omega_k \neq 0$ real.

For each real root r_j , take

$$\boxed{e^{r_j t}, t e^{r_j t}, t^2 e^{r_j t}, \dots, t^{m_j-1} e^{r_j t}}$$

as basis elements for the space of sols.

For each complex root z_j , take

$$\boxed{e^{\sigma_j t} \cos(\omega_j t), t e^{\sigma_j t} \cos(\omega_j t), \dots, t^{n_j-1} e^{\sigma_j t} \cos(\omega_j t),}$$

$$\boxed{e^{\sigma_j t} \sin(\omega_j t), t e^{\sigma_j t} \sin(\omega_j t), \dots, t^{n_j-1} e^{\sigma_j t} \sin(\omega_j t)}$$

as basis elements for the space of sols.

Counting, we get $\deg(x(z)) = \text{order } (*)$ basis elements, hence have found a basis.

Up to factoring $x(z)$ (which is always possible in principle by the fundamental theorem of algebra), this is a complete solution to any linear homogeneous equation with constant coefficients.

Examples:

$$\frac{d^4y}{dt^4} + 2 \frac{d^3y}{dt^3} + 6 \frac{d^2y}{dt^2} - 22 \frac{dy}{dt} + 13y = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} x(z) &= z^4 + 2z^3 + 6z^2 - 22z + 13 \\ &= (z-1)^2 \left[(z - (-2+3i))(z - (-2-3i)) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Roots: $r_1 = 1$, $m_1 = 2$
 $z_1 = -2+3i$, $n_1 = 1$

Basis of solutions:

$$\underbrace{e^t, te^t}_{\text{From double real root}}, \underbrace{e^{-2t} \cos(3t), e^{-2t} \sin(3t)}_{\text{From conjugate pair of complex roots}}$$

$$\frac{d^4y}{dt^4} - 4 \frac{d^3y}{dt^3} + 14 \frac{d^2y}{dt^2} - 20 \frac{dy}{dt} + 25y = 0$$

$$\chi(z) = z^4 - 4z^3 + 14z^2 - 20z + 25 \\ = [(z - (1+2i))(z - (1-2i))]^2$$

$$z_1 = 1+2i, \quad n_1=2$$

Basis of solutions:

$$e^t \cos(2t), \quad t e^t \cos(2t), \quad e^t \sin(2t), \quad t e^t \sin(2t).$$